Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/598,662	HAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Denise R. Anderson	1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	O personnel):
(1) <u>Denise R. Anderson</u> .	(3) <u>David R. Sample</u> .
(2) <u>Kathleen Cavanaugh</u> .	(4)
Date of Interview: 22 May 2008.	
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.
Claim(s) discussed: <u>25</u> .	
Identification of prior art discussed: Barzuza et al. (US 4,	617,120) and Zha et al. (US 6,524,482).
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\hfill \square$ was reached.	g) was not reached. h) № N/A.
the invention from the prior art. The examiner will take the patentability analysis on the amended claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amerallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE	In how the water quide jacket and header jacket distinguish is into consideration and update the search when doing the individual to the search when doing the number of the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims ed.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE the last office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS ITERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if required
Attachment to a signed Office action	*